This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

Syntheses, characterization, and crystal structures of coordination polymers: $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_{<i>n</i>)}$ (Ln=Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho; OVA=2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoate)

Mei-Li Zhang^{ab}; Fu-Gang Xin^a; Zeng-Lin Wang^a ^a Key Laboratory of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry, Shaanxi Normal University, Ministry of Education, Xi'an 710062, PRC ^b Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yan'an University, Yana'an, Shaanxi 716000, PRC

To cite this Article Zhang, Mei-Li , Xin, Fu-Gang and Wang, Zeng-Lin(2009) 'Syntheses, characterization, and crystal structures of coordination polymers: $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_{<|n|=2}$, (Ln=Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho; OVA=2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoate)', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 62: 14, 2347 – 2357

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958970902829197 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958970902829197

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Syntheses, characterization, and crystal structures of coordination polymers: $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho; OVA = 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoate)

MEI-LI ZHANG[†][‡], FU-GANG XIN[†] and ZENG-LIN WANG^{*}[†]

†Key Laboratory of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry,
 Shaanxi Normal University, Ministry of Education, Xi'an 710062, PRC
 ‡Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yan'an University,
 Yana'an, Shaanxi 716000, PRC

(Received 18 March 2008; in final form 18 November 2008)

The title complexes {NH₄·[Ln(OVA)₄]}_n (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho; OVA = 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoate) were synthesized in water and characterized by FT-IR, elemental analysis, TGA, and X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis. Two distinct structure types were isolated. Structure type I with formula {NH₄·[Ln(OVA)₄]}_n (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd) contains Ln-COO⁻ quadruply-bridged helical 1-D chains, with all carboxylates bridging. The structure type II with formula {NH₄·[Ho(OVA)₄]}_n contains bridging and chelating carboxylates, resulting in Ho-COO⁻ double helical 1-D chains. The passage from type I to type II structure is ascribed to the lanthanide contraction. These 1-D chains are extended to 3-D supramolecular architecture by hydrogen bonds.

Keywords: Coordination polymers; Carboxylate; Crystal structure; Lanthanide complexes

1. Introduction

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have the potential to exhibit useful bulk properties such as nonlinear optical (NLO) behavior [1], novel magnetism [2–4], and guest sorption [5]. Carboxylate networks have received a great deal of attention due to their ability to both chelate a metal and simultaneously bridge to an adjacent metal, especially with large and less rigid coordination spheres of rare earth elements [6–8]. Vanillic(3-methoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid, HVA) and *o*-vanillic(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoic acid, HOVA) are widely used in pharmacology, as starting material of some polymer rubbers and a component of polymeric soil organic matter [9]. Both have three functional groups, which are potential coordinating sites to metal ions. Transition metals complexes with vanillic acid were investigated and conditional stability constants were calculated from fluorescence and UV spectra [10]. Copper(II), cobalt(II), and nickel(II) complexes with vanillic acid were synthesized and the crystal structures were determined [11]. The $M \cdots M$ distance is short, associated with the hydrogen bond link

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: wangzl@snnu.edu.cn

of the apically coordinated water with the nearest neighbor vanillic hydroxyl group. Structure parameters of uranium(IV) complex indicate that the carboxylic group coordinated uranyl cation is in a bidentate mode in the equatorial plane [12]. Complexes of rare earth metals with HVA were synthesized and their thermal decompositions were investigated by Kula [13]. However, the complexes of rare earth with HOVA have not been reported. In this work, complexes of Pr^{3+} , Nd^{3+} , Gd^{3+} , and Ho^{3+} with HOVA were prepared in water and were characterized by elemental analysis, FT-IR, thermal analysis, and X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis.

2. Experimental

2.1. General

All reagents were of analytical reagent grade and were used without purification. $LnCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho) were prepared by dissolving Pr_6O_{11} , Nd_2O_3 , Gd_2O_3 , and Ho_2O_3 (99.95%) in 1:1 concentrated hydrochloric acid. IR data were collected of KBr discs with a Nicolet EQUINX55 FTIR spectrometer in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹. DSC-TGA analyses were obtained with a Q1000DSC + LNCS + FACS Q600SDT of TA company under flowing air at a heating rate of $10^{\circ}C \text{ min}^{-1}$. Elemental analysis (C, H, and N) was carried with a German Vario EL III atomic elemental analyzer. The metal contents were determined by titration with xylenol orange indicator following acid digestion and buffering with hexamine [14]. The X-ray single crystal structures were determined on a Bruker Smart APEX CCD area detector.

2.2. Syntheses

Single crystals of complexes suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by the method described for $\{NH_4 \cdot [Pr(OVA)_4]\}_n$.

 $\{NH_4 \cdot [Pr(OVA)_4]\}_n$: HOVA (0.1008 g, 0.6 mmol) and $PrCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.2 mmol, 0.0710 g) were dissolved in 15 mL hot water and the pH of the resulting solution was adjusted to 5 by aqueous ammonia, followed by stirring for 2 h in a water bath (65°C). After several days green needle-like crystals suitable for the X-ray diffraction were filtered and washed first with water three times and then 95% ethanol (yield: 35% based on Pr). Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{32}NO_{16}Pr$: C, 46.43; H, 3.82; N, 1.63; Pr, 16.18. Found: C, 46.40; H, 3.87; N, 1.6; Pr, 17.03%. IR(KBr, cm⁻¹): 3210(m), 1621(m), 1579(s), 1484(m), 1465(m), 1444(m), 1402(vs), 1340(m), 1246(vs), 1060(m), 645(w).

 $\{NH_4 \cdot [Nd(OVA)_4]\}_n$: Yield: 30% (based on Nd), Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{32}NO_{16}Nd$: C, 46.30; H, 3.73; N, 1.62; Nd, 17.24. Found: C, 46.22; H, 3.85; N, 1.69; Nd, 17.36%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3211(w), 1621(m), 1581(vs), 1484(m), 1465(m), 1403(vs), 1348(m), 1340(m), 1247(vs), 1060(m), 646(w).

 $\{NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4]\}_n$: Yield: 40% (based on Gd), Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{32}NO_{16}Gd$: C, 45.42; H, 3.64; N, 1.64; Gd, 18.31. Found: C, 45.51; H, 3.79; N, 1.66; Gd 18.63%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3229(m), 1627(w), 1573(vs), 1484(s), 1449(s), 1402(vs), 1347(m), 1246(vs), 1060(m), 485(w).

 $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ho(OVA)_4]\}_n$: Yield: 42% (based on Ho), Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{32}NO_{16}Ho$: C, 45.05; H, 3.69; N, 1.47; Ho, 18.52. Found: C, 45.09; H, 3.76; N, 1.64; Ho, 19.37%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3229(m), 1629(w), 1575(vs), 1489(m), 1454(m), 1411(vs), 1347(m), 1251(vs), 1060(m), 493(w).

2.3. X-ray crystallography

Crystals with approximate dimensions were placed on a Bruker Smart APEX CCD area detector. Intensity data were collected using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) at 293(2) K. Data sets were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for the effects of absorption. Structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 [15] and Fourier difference techniques and refined by full matrix least squares on F^2 [16]. All hydrogens were placed in calculated positions. The crystallographic data of the complexes {NH₄ · [Ln(OVA)₄]}_n (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho) and the parameters of data collection are summarized in table 1; selected bond lengths and angles are in table 2.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho)

When complexes formed, the absorption at 1653 cm^{-1} of COOH groups disappears, and asymmetric (ν_{as}) and symmetric (ν_s) vibrations of COO⁻ appear at $1550-1627 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $1385-1440 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The asymmetric (ν_{as}) and symmetric (ν_s) bands of the COO⁻ group are split, suggesting that the COO⁻ groups are bonded in different ways in the same molecule. The absorption bands of phenolic OH and C–O–C in the ligand appear around 1309 and 1240 cm^{-1} , respectively. After formation of complexes, they appear at 1314 and 1247 cm^{-1} , shifted about 5 and 7 cm⁻¹, respectively, indicating that phenolic OH does not coordinate to rare earth ions, in agreement with the X-ray crystal structure. The broad absorption at $3229-3210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is attributed to ∂S_{N-H} of ammonium cation [17].

The thermal analyses of the complexes were carried out in air with a heating rate of 10° C min⁻¹ (figure S1). The complexes are all fairly stable with no weight loss observed to 100° C. The rare earth complexes decompose rapidly over 230°C, with weight loss of 81%; a corresponding exothermic process was observed in the DTG curves. Residual weights of rare earth oxides agree with calculated results.

3.2. Crystal structure of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$

Crystal structures of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho) were determined by X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis. Complexes $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, and Gd) are allomers and belong to the orthorhombic crystal system, *Pnna* space group, while $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ho(OVA)_4]\}_n$ belongs to the orthorhombic crystal system with space group of *Ccc2*.

| I a ure 1. Cu ystaniographic rei | LIGHTER DATABLES OF STATES | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | $(\mathrm{NH}_4 \cdot [\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{OVA})_4])_n$ | $(NH_4 \cdot [Nd(OVA)_4])_n$ | $(NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4])_n$ | $(\mathrm{NH}_4 \cdot [\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{OVA})_4])_n$ |
| Empirical formula | $C_{32}H_{32}PrNO_{16}$ | C ₃₂ H ₃₂ NdNO ₁₆ | $C_{32}H_{32}GdNO_{16}$ | C ₃₂ H ₃₂ HoNO ₁₆ |
| Formula weight | 827.20 0.40 × 0.26 × 0.07 | 830.83 0.35 \sim 0.30 \sim 0.07 | 845.84 0 $36 \times 0.21 \times 0.12$ | 22.168 0 16 × 0 17 |
| Crystal system | 0.40 × 0.20 × 0.07 Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group $(Å, \circ)$ | Pnna | Pnna | Pnna | Ccc2 |
| a c c c | 8.8348(7) | 8.8317(1) | 8.7945(4) | 16.5354(1) |
| b | 16.4800(1) | 16.465(2) | 16.4014(7) | 20.3456(2) |
| c | 22.9332(2) | 22.912(3) | 22.8534(1) | 9.6500(8) |
| α | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| β | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| . ~ | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $V(\mathbf{\mathring{A}}^3)$ | 3339.0(5) | 3331.7(7) | 3296.4(3) | 3246.5(5) |
| Z | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $D_c (\mathrm{mgm}^{-3})$ | 1.646 | 1.656 | 1.700 | 1.742 |
| Abs. coefficient (mm^{-1}) | 1.537 | 1.636 | 2.090 | 2.517 |
| F(000) | 1672 | 1676 | 1692 | 1704 |
| $T(\mathbf{K})$ | 293(2) | 293(2) | 293(2) | 293(2) |
| Reflections collected | 16,706 | 16,514 | 16,405 | 8312 |
| Reflections unique | 3075 | 3064 | 3035 | 2887 |
| Observed data $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ | 2642 | 2649 | 2723 | 2656 |
| Rint | 0.0249 | 0.0237 | 0.0181 | 0.0217 |
| Parameters | 237 | 237 | 237 | 237 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F^2 | 0.938 | 0.977 | 1.006 | 1.020 |
| $R_1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$ | 0.0206 | 0.0198 | 0.0177 | 0.0192 |
| wR_2 | 0.0512 | 0.0521 | 0.0431 | 0.0479 |
| Max $\Delta \rho$ (enm ⁻³) | 257 | 335 | 414 | 809 |
| Min $\Delta \rho$ (enm ⁻³) | -523 | -708 | -468 | -610 |

Table 1. Crystallographic refinement parameters of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$

Downloaded At: 08:20 23 January 2011

| Panel A: $(NH_4 \cdot [Pr(OVA)_4])_n$ | | | |
|---|------------|---|------------------------|
| Pr(1)-O(5)#1 | 2.4088(15) | Pr(1)–O(5) | 2.4089(15) |
| Pr(1)–O(2)#2 | 2.4322(15) | Pr(1)–O(2)#3 | 2.4323(15) |
| Pr(1)-O(1)#1 | 2.4857(14) | Pr(1)-O(1) | 2.4857(14) |
| Pr(1) - O(6) # 2 | 2.5717(14) | Pr(1) - O(6) # 2 | 2.5717(14) |
| O(2) - Pr(1) # 3 | 2.4323(15) | O(6) - Pr(1) # 3 | 2.5717(14) |
| H(1A) - N(1) - H(1B) | 110.7(13) | O(5)#1-Pr(1)-O(5) | 150 28(8) |
| O(5)#1-Pr(1)-O(2)#2 | 77 79(6) | O(5) = Pr(1) = O(2) # 2 | 78 92(6) |
| O(5)#1-Pr(1)-O(2)#3 | 78 93(6) | O(5)-Pr(1)-O(2)#2 | 77 78(6) |
| O(2)#2 Pr(1) $O(2)$ #3 | 76.21(7) | O(5) $H(1)$ $O(2)$ $H(1)$ $O(1)$ $H(1)$ | 72.88(6) |
| O(5) Pr(1) $O(1)$ #1 | 135 54(6) | O(2)#2 Pr(1) $O(1)$ #1 | 110 17(5) |
| O(3)=F1(1)=O(1)#1 O(2)#2 $Pr(1)$ $O(1)#1$ | 133.34(0) | O(2)#2-F1(1)-O(1)#1 O(5)#1 Pr(1) O(1) | 119.17(3) 125.54(6) |
| $O(2)_{\#5} - PI(1) - O(1)_{\#1}$ | 145.05(0) | O(3)#1-P1(1)= $O(1)$ | 133.34(0) |
| O(3) - Pr(1) - O(1) | /2.88(0) | O(2)#2-Pf(1)- $O(1)$ | 143.03(0) |
| O(2)#3 - Pr(1) - O(1) | 119.17(5) | O(1)#1-Pr(1)=O(1) | /0.31(/) |
| O(5)#1-Pr(1)-O(6)#2 | 118.31(5) | O(5) = Pr(1) = O(6) # 2 | /2./0(5) |
| O(2)#2-Pr(1)-O(6)#2 | 75.58(5) | O(2)#3-Pr(1)-O(6)#2 | 142.32(5) |
| O(1)#1-Pr(1)-O(6)#2 | 73.68(5) | O(1) - Pr(1) - O(6) # 2 | 73.59(5) |
| O(5)#1-Pr(1)-O(6)#3 | 72.70(5) | O(5) - Pr(1) - O(6) # 3 | 118.31(5) |
| O(2)#2-Pr(1)-O(6)#3 | 142.32(5) | O(2)#3–Pr(1)–O(6)#3 | 75.58(5) |
| O(1)#1-Pr(1)-O(6)#3 | 73.59(5) | O(1)–Pr(1)–O(6)#3 | 73.68(5) |
| O(6)#2-Pr(1)-O(6)#3 | 139.68(7) | | |
| Panel B: $(NH_4 \cdot [Nd(OVA)_4])_n$ | | | |
| Nd(1)–O(5)#1 | 2.3963(15) | Nd(1)–O(5) | 2.3963(15) |
| Nd(1)–O(2)#2 | 2.4700(14) | Nd(1)-O(2)#3 | 2.4141(14) |
| Nd(1) - O(1) | 2.4700(14) | Nd(1) - O(1)#1 | 2.4701(14) |
| Nd(1)-O(6)#2 | 2.5514(14) | Nd(1) - O(6)#3 | 2.5514(14) |
| O(2) = Nd(1) # 2 | 2 4142(14) | O(6) = Nd(1)#2 | 2.5514(14) |
| $H(1^{A}) = N(1) = H(1^{B})$ | 110 2(13) | O(5) #1 - Nd(1) = O(5) | 150 33(8) |
| O(5)#1-Nd(1)-O(2)#2 | 78.96(5) | O(5) - Nd(1) - O(2) #2 | 77.80(6) |
| O(5)#1 - Nd(1) - O(2)#3 | 77.80(6) | O(5) - Nd(1) - O(2) # 3 | 78.96(5) |
| O(2)#2-Nd(1)- $O(2)$ #3 | 76.24(7) | O(5) #1 - Nd(1) = O(1) | 135 58(5) |
| O(5) - Nd(1) - O(1) | 72 78(5) | O(2)#2-Nd(1)-O(1) | 119.08(5) |
| O(3)=Nd(1)=O(1) O(2)=2 Nd(1) $O(1)$ | 142.02(6) | O(2)#2-Nd(1)-O(1) O(5)#1 Nd(1) $O(1)$ #1 | 72.78(5) |
| $O(2)_{\#} = O(1)_{\#} O(1)_{\#}$ | 145.05(0) | O(3)#1-Nd(1)-O(1)#1 | 142.70(3) |
| O(3) = Nd(1) = O(1) # 1 | 133.36(3) | O(2)#2-Nd(1)= $O(1)$ #1 | 145.05(0) |
| O(2)#3 = Nd(1) = O(1)#1 | 119.09(5) | O(1) - Nd(1) - O(1) + 1 | /0.49(/) |
| O(5)#1 - Nd(1) - O(6)#2 | /2.8/(5) | O(5) = Nd(1) = O(6) #2 | 118.08(5) |
| O(2)#2-Nd(1)-O(6)#2 | /5.4/(5) | O(2)#3-Nd(1)-O(6)#2 | 142.38(5) |
| O(1)-Nd(1)-O(6)#2 | 73.59(5) | O(1)#1-Nd(1)-O(6)#2 | 73.78(5) |
| O(5)#1-Nd(1)-O(6)#3 | 118.07(5) | O(5)–Nd(1)–O(6)#3 | 72.87(5) |
| O(2)#2-Nd(1)-O(6)#3 | 142.38(5) | O(2)#3–Nd(1)–O(6)#3 | 75.47(5) |
| O(1)-Nd(1)-O(6)#3 | 73.78(5) | O(1)#1-Nd(1)-O(6)#3 | 73.59(5) |
| O(6)#2-Nd(1)-O(6)#3 | 139.76(7) | | |
| Panel C: $(NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4])_n$ | | | |
| Gd(1)–O(5) | 2.3421(14) | Gd(1)-O(5)#1 | 2.3421(14) |
| Gd(1)-O(2)#2 | 2.3615(14) | Gd(1)-O(2)#3 | 2.3616(13) |
| Gd(1) - O(1) | 2.4174(13) | Gd(1)–O(1)#1 | 2.4174(13) |
| Gd(1)-O(6)#2 | 2.4929(13) | Gd(1)-O(6)#3 | 2.4929(13) |
| O(2) - Gd(1) #3 | 2.3616(13) | O(6) - Gd(1) #3 | 2.4929(13) |
| O(5)-Gd(1)-O(2)#2 | 78.71(5) | O(5) - Gd(1) - O(5) # 1 | 149,98(8) |
| O(5)-Gd(1)-O(2)#3 | 77.80(5) | O(5)#1-Gd(1)-O(2)#2 | 77.80(5) |
| O(2)#2-Gd(1)-O(2)#3 | 76 38(7) | O(5)#1-Gd(1)-O(2)#3 | 78 71(5) |
| O(5)#1-Gd(1)-O(1) | 135,99(5) | O(5) - Gd(1) - O(1) | 72 62(5) |
| O(2)#3-Gd(1)-O(1) | 118 62(5) | O(2)#2-Gd(1)-O(1) | 142 89(5) |
| O(5)#1-Gd(1)-O(1)#1 | 72 62(5) | O(5) - Gd(1) - O(1) + 1 | 135 00(5) |
| $O(2)$ #3_Gd(1)_O(1)#1 | 142 89(5) | O(2) #2 = Gd(1) O(1) #1 | 118 62(5) |
| O(5) Gd(1) O(6)#2 | 73 30(5) | O(1) Gd(1) O(1)#1 | 71 40(7) |
| O(3)=O(0)=O(0)=2 | 75 21(5) | O(1) = O(1) = O(1) = O(1) = O(1) | (1.40(7)) |
| O(2)#2 - Ou(1) - O(0)#2 | 13.21(3) | $O(3)_{\#1} - O(0)_{\#2}$ | 11/.04(5) |

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$.

(continued)

| Т | abl | e 2 | . Cor | ntinued | |
|---|-----|-----|-------|---------|--|
| | | | | | |

| O(1)–Gd(1)–O(6)#2 O(5)–Gd(1)–O(6)#3 | 74.38(5) 117.64(5) | O(2)#3-Gd(1)-O(6)#2 O(1)#1-Gd(1)-O(6)#2 | 142.72(5) 73.20(5) |
|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| O(2)#2-Gd(1)-O(6)#3 | 142.72(5) | O(5)#1-Gd(1)-O(6)#3 | 73.38(5) |
| O(1)-Gd(1)-O(6)#3 | 73.20(5) | O(2)#3-Gd(1)-O(6)#3 | 75.21(5) |
| O(6)#2-Gd(1)-O(6)#3 | 139.79(6) | O(1)#1-Gd(1)-O(6)#3 | 74.38(5) |
| Panel D: (NH ₄ · [Ho(OVA) ₄]) | п | | |
| Ho(1)-O(6)#1 | 2.259(3) | Ho(1)-O(6)#2 | 2.259(3) |
| Ho(1)–O(5) | 2.347(2) | Ho(1)-O(5)#3 | 2.347(2) |
| Ho(1)–O(1) | 2.399(2) | Ho(1)-O(1)#3 | 2.399(2) |
| Ho(1)–O(2) | 2.463(3) | Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 2.463(3) |
| Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 2.789(3) | O(6)-Ho(1)#4 | 2.259(3) |
| O(6)#1-Ho(1)-O(6)#2 | 91.21(15) | O(6)#1-Ho(1)-O(5) | 79.67(9) |
| O(6)#2-Ho(1)-O(5) | 150.05(9) | O(6)#1-Ho(1)-O(5)#3 | 150.05(9) |
| O(6)#2-Ho(1)-O(5)#3 | 79.67(9) | O(5)-Ho(1)-O(5)#3 | 121.17(11) |
| O(6)#1-Ho(1)-O(1) | 133.48(8) | O(6)#2-Ho(1)-O(1) | 84.90(8) |
| O(5)-Ho(1)-O(1) | 81.17(7) | O(5)#3-Ho(1)-O(1) | 74.51(7) |
| O(6)#1-Ho(1)-O(1)#3 | 84.91(8) | O(6)#2-Ho(1)-O(1)#3 | 133.48(8) |
| O(5)-Ho(1)-O(1)#3 | 74.51(7) | O(5)#3-Ho(1)-O(1)#3 | 81.17(7) |
| O(1)-Ho(1)-O(1)#3 | 129.28(11) | O(6)#1-Ho(1)-O(2) | 80.34(9) |
| O(6)#2-Ho(1)-O(2) | 76.08(9) | O(5)–Ho(1)–O(2) | 74.30(9) |
| O(5)#3-Ho(1)-O(2) | 123.87(8) | O(1)-Ho(1)-O(2) | 53.69(8) |
| O(1)#3-Ho(1)-O(2) | 147.37(8) | O(6)#1-Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 76.08(9) |
| O(6)#2-Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 80.35(9) | O(5)-Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 123.87(8) |
| O(5)#3-Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 74.30(9) | O(1)-Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 147.36(8) |
| O(1)#3-Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 53.69(8) | O(2)-Ho(1)-O(2)#3 | 146.07(15) |
| O(6)#1-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 79.44(9) | O(6)#2-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 106.81(9) |
| O(5)-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 99.52(9) | O(5)#3-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 76.13(8) |
| O(1)-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 145.72(9) | O(1)#3-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 26.97(8) |
| O(2)-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 159.63(9) | O(2)#3-Ho(1)-C(1)#3 | 26.71(9) |
| O(6)#1-Ho(1)-C(1) | 106.81(9) | O(6)#2-Ho(1)-C(1) | 79.44(9) |
| O(5)-Ho(1)-C(1) | 76.13(8) | O(5)#3-Ho(1)-C(1) | 99.52(9) |
| O(1)-Ho(1)-C(1) | 26.97(9) | O(1)#3-Ho(1)-C(1) | 145.72(9) |
| O(2)-Ho(1)-C(1) | 26.71(9) | O(2)#3-Ho(1)-C(1) | 159.63(9) |
| C(1)#3-Ho(1)-C(1) | 171.31(15) | | |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: {NH4·[Pr(OVA)4]}_n: #1 -x+3/2, -y, z; #2 x+1/2, y, -z+1; #3 -x+1, -y, -z+1. {NH4·[Nd(OVA)4]}_n: #1 -x+3/2, -y, z; #2 -x+1, -y, -z+1; #3 x+1/2, y, -z+1. {NH4·[Gd(OVA)4]}_n: #1 -x+3/2, -y, z; #2 x+1/2, y, -z+1. {NH4·[Gd(OVA)4]}_n: #1 -x+3/2, -y, z; #2 x+1/2, y, -z+1; #3 -x+1, -y, -z+1. {NH4·[Ho(OVA)4]}_n: #1 -x+2, y, z-1/2; #2 x, -y, z-1/2; #3 -x+2, -y, z; #4 x, -y, z+1/2.

Crystal structures of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, and Gd), figure 1, show the molecular structure and coordination environment of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4]\}_n$. The Gd(III) is coordinated by eight oxygens from eight different carboxyl groups. The coordination geometry around Gd(III) can be described as a distorted square antiprismatic configuration (figure S2). For each Gd(III), four oxygens (O1, O5, O2A, and O6A) of four bridging carboxyl groups form a square face of the square anti-prism. Another square face is formed by another four oxygens (O1A, O5A, O2B, and O6B). The torsion angle O1–O5–O2A–O6A and O1A–O5A–O2B–O6B are both 1.11°, and the deviations of the O atoms from their least-squares plane are negligible.

There are two kinds of O–C–O bond angles in the Gd complex (O2–C1–O1 = 123.0° , O6–C9–O5 = 125.0°). The average bond angle of O–C–O in the Gd complex is 124.0° , smaller than that of the trifluoroacetate and trichloroacetate lanthanide complexes (128.9°) [18, 19]. All carboxylates in complexes bridge rare earth ion and 1-D



Figure 1. Molecular structure of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4]\}_n$.



Figure 2. Gd–COO⁻ helical 1-D chain along the *a* axis of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4]\}_n$.

carboxylate-bridged metal chains are formed, which may be viewed as a Ln–COO[–] helix (figure 2). The Gd(III) ions are laid in a zigzag way along the *a* axis. The angle of Gd–Gd–Gd in the complex is 156.57° and the distance of Gd \cdots Gd in the complex is 4.491 Å, too far for a metal-metal bond.

In this supramolecular polymer, each –OH forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with adjacent –OCH₃, and each hydrogen of NH_4^+ forms two intermolecular hydrogen bonds with two adjacent chains (table 3), resulting in formation of 3-D networks in the complex (figure S3). The hydrogen bonds play a critical role in forming the 3-D networks.

The structure of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Pr(OVA)_4]\}_n$ and $\{NH_4 \cdot [Nd(OVA)_4]\}_n$ are the same as $\{NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4]\}_n$. The Pr \cdots Pr (4.516Å) and Nd \cdots Nd (4.513Å) distances are both longer than that of Gd \cdots Gd (4.491Å). The average angle of carboxylate in the Pr and Nd complexes are 123.63° and 123.64°, respectively, both smaller than the

| D–H · · · A | D-H (Å) | $H\cdots A \;(\mathring{A})$ | D-A (Å) | ∠D–H · · · A (°) |
|------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|------------------|
| $(NH_4 \cdot [Pr(OVA)_4])_n$ | | | | |
| $O(3) - H(3) \cdots O(1)$ | 0.82 | 1.77 | 2.496(2) | 146.5 |
| $O(7) - H(7) \cdots O(6)$ | 0.82 | 1.82 | 2.545(2) | 146.9 |
| $N(1) - H(1B) \cdots O(7)$ | 0.938(9) | 2.065(17) | 2.8677(19) | 142.7 |
| $N(1)-H(1A)\cdots O(3)$ | 0.940(9) | 1.960(13) | 2.869(2) | 162.0 |
| $(NH_4 \cdot [Nd(OVA)_4])_n$ | | | | |
| $O(3) - H(3) \cdots O(1)$ | 0.82 | 1.77 | 2.4946(6) | 147.2 |
| $O(7) - H(7) \cdots O(6)$ | 0.82 | 1.82 | 2.5485(5) | 147.5 |
| $N(1)-H(1A)\cdots O(3)$ | 0.94 | 1.97 | 2.8745(6) | 161.6 |
| $N(1)-H(1A)\cdots O(4)$ | 0.94 | 2.40 | 3.0474(6) | 126.7 |
| $N(1)-H(1B)\cdots O(7)$ | 0.94 | 2.07 | 2.8672(5) | 141.1 |
| $N(1)-H(1B)\cdots O(8)$ | 0.94 | 2.51 | 3.2097(1) | 131.6 |
| $(NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4])_n$ | | | | |
| $O(3) - H(3) \cdots O(1)$ | 0.82 | 1.78 | 2.5039(2) | 146.2 |
| $O(7) - H(7) \cdots O(6)$ | 0.82 | 1.83 | 2.5530(3) | 147.3 |
| $N(1)-H(1A)\cdots O(3)$ | 0.94 | 1.99 | 2.8909(2) | 160.4 |
| $N(1)-H(1A)\cdots O(4)$ | 0.94 | 2.35 | 3.0118(4) | 127.1 |
| $N(1)-H(1B)\cdots O(7)$ | 0.94 | 2.07 | 2.8665(5) | 141.2 |
| N(1)- $H(1B)$ ···O(8) | 0.94 | 2.48 | 3.1697(2) | 130.6 |
| $(NH_4 [Ho(OVA)_4])_n$ | | | | |
| $O(3) - H(3) \cdots O(1)$ | 0.82 | 1.83 | 2.5435(3) | 145.3 |
| $O(7) - H(7) \cdots O(5)$ | 0.82 | 1.85 | 2.5677(2) | 145.2 |
| $N(1)-H(1A)\cdots O(7)$ | 0.85 | 2.20 | 2.9814(2) | 152.2 |
| $N(1)-H(1A)\cdots O(8)$ | 0.85 | 2.36 | 2.8888(4) | 121.1 |
| $N(1)-H(1B)\cdots O(3)$ | 0.85 | 2.16 | 2.9683(5) | 158.2 |
| N(1)- $H(1B)$ ···O(4) | 0.85 | 2.48 | 3.0296(2) | 123.3 |

Table 3. Hydrogen bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$.

Gd complex. The lanthanide contraction causes the Ln–O bond length, Ln···Ln distance (table 2), and the cell parameters a, b, c (table 1) to decrease with the increase in atomic number. Lanthanide contraction was also invoked by Li and co-workers to explain analogous results observed in a series of compounds of rare earth metals with 3,5-pyrazoledicarboxylate [20–22].

In contrast to Pr, Nd, and Gd complexes, $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ho(OVA)_4]\}_n$ is *Ccc2* space group and has entirely different coordination environment. The asymmetric unit of Ho complex consists of a Ho(III) ion and four OVA ligands. Each Ho(III) is surrounded by eight oxygens, four (O5, O6, O5A, O6A) from four bridging carboxylates and the other four (O1, O2, O1A, O2A) from two chelating carboxylates, giving a slightly distorted square anti-prismatic geometry (figure 3).

The average Ho–O bond length is 2.367 Å, shorter than that of the Pr, Nd, and Gd complexes, and consistent with the lanthanide contraction. Each Ho(III) is bridged by two carboxylates, forming a Ln–COO⁻ double helix (figure 4). The dihedral angle between the benzene ring of the two bridging ligands is 5.593° , nearly parallel. The dihedral angle between the benzene rings of the two chelating ligands is 51.051° . The Ho–Ho–Ho angle is 180° , different from that of the Pr, Nd, and Gd complexes (table 2). The Ho \cdots Ho distance is 4.825 Å, longest of the four complexes, attributed to only two bridging carboxylates between two Ho(III) ions. The carboxylate-bridged 1-D double helical chains are connected through hydrogen bonds to form a 3-D supramolecular network (figure S4).



Figure 3. Molecular structure of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ho(OVA)_4]\}_n$.



Figure 4. Ho–COO[–] double helical 1-D chain along the *c* axis of $\{NH_4 \cdot [Gd(OVA)_4]\}_n$.

4. Conclusion

We report the syntheses and crystal structures of 3-D supramolecular polymers, $\{NH_4 \cdot [Ln(OVA)_4]\}_n$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, Gd, and Ho; OVA = 2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoate). The rare earth ions are all eight-coordinate with similar synthesis, but two distinct structure types. When the Ln(III) ion changes from Pr(III) to Gd(III), the structures of the complexes are very similar with carboxylates bridging, forming Ln–COO⁻ quadruply-bridged helical 1-D chains. Comparing Ho with Pr, Nd, and Gd, the radius of Ho is smaller, causing changes in the coordination of carboxylate, resulting in Ho–COO[–] double helix 1-D chains. The lanthanide contraction plays an important effect in the structures of these complexes.

Supplementary information

Full crystallographic data have been deposited with the CCDC (666140, 666415, 665193, and 665194). These data may be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44 1223336 033 or Email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China for partially supporting this research under Contract No. 20873080.

References

- [1] S.D. Bella. Chem. Soc. Rev., 30, 355 (2001).
- [2] J. Mrozinski. Coord. Chem. Rev., 249, 2534 (2005).
- [3] J. Lengendziewicz, M. Borzechowska, G. Oczko, G. Meyer. New J. Chem., 24, 53 (2000).
- [4] A. Panagiotopoulos, T.F. Zafiropoulos, S.P. Perlepes, E. Bakalbassis, I. Masson-Ramade, O. Kahn, A. Terzis, C.P. Raptopoulou. *Inorg. Chem.*, 34, 4918 (1995).
- [5] U. Mueller, M. Schubert, F. Teich, H. Puetter, K. Schierle-Arndt, J. Pastré. J. Mater. Chem., 16, 626 (2006).
- [6] (a) J.L.C. Rowsell, E.C. Spencer, J. Eckert, J.A.K. Howard, O.M. Yaghi. Science, 309, 1350 (2005);
 (b) E.C. Spencer, J.A.K. Howard, G.J. McIntyre, J.L.C. Rowsell, O.M. Yaghi. Chem. Commun., 278 (2006);
 (c) J.L.C. Rowsell, O.M. Yaghi. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 128, 1304 (2006);
 (d) A. Dimos, D. Tsaousis, A. Michaelides, S. Skoulika, S. Golhen, L. Ouahab, C. Didierjean, A. Aubry. Chem. Mater., 14, 2616 (2002).
- [7] (a) J.R. Li, Q. Yu, Y. Tao, X.H. Bu, J. Ribas, S.R. Batten. Chem. Commun., 22, 2290 (2007);
 (b) X.H. Bu, M.L. Tong, H.C. Chang, S. Kitagawa, S.R. Batten. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 43, 192 (2004);
 (c) J.R. Li, Y. Tao, Q. Yu, X.H. Bu, H. Sakamoto, S. Kitagawa. Chem. Eur. J., 14, 2771 (2008);
 (d) X.H. Bu, W.F. Hou, M. Du, W. Chen, R.H. Zhang. Cryst. Growth Des., 2, 303 (2002); (e) M. Du,
 S.T. Chen, X.H. Bu. Cryst. Growth Des., 2, 625 (2002); (f) M. Du, Y.M. Guo, S.T. Chen, X.H. Bu. Inorg. Chem., 43, 1287 (2004).
- [8] A. Ouchi, Y. Suzuki, Y. Ohki, Y. Koizumi. Coord. Chem. Rev., 92, 29 (1988).
- [9] (a) J.X. Wang, L.M. Zhang, R.S. Gong. Zhongcaoyao, 15, 141 (1984); (b) F.Y. Saleh, W.A. Ong, D.Y. Chan. Anal. Chem., 61, 2792 (1989).
- [10] Y.S. Farida, E.C. Brunghardt. Proceedings of the International Meeting of the International Humic Substances Society, 6th 1999, 105 from California 121 (1994).
- [11] (a) T. Glowiak, H. Kozlowski, L.S. Erre. J. Coord. Chem., 25, 75 (1992); (b) R.J. Hobson, M.F.C. Ladd, D.C. Povey. J. Cryst. Mol. Struct., 3, 377 (1973); (c) B. Kozlevčar, D. Odlazek, A. Golobič, A. Pevec, P. Strauch, P. Šegedin. Polyhedron, 5, 1161 (2006).
- [12] A. Rossberg, L. Baraniak, G. Bernhard. Radiochim. Acta, 88, 593 (2000).
- [13] A. Kula. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim., 81, 381 (2005).
- [14] D. Ang, G.B. Deacon, P.C. Junk, D.R. Turner. Polyhedron, 2, 385 (2007).
- [15] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXS-97. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Göttingen (1997).

- [16] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXS-97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Göttingen (1997).
- [17] E. Pretsch, P. Bühlmann. C. Affolter Structure Determination of Organic Compounds (Chinese Translation of "Structure Determination of Organic Compounds – Tables of Spectral Data"), East China University of Science and Technology Press, China (2002).
- [18] (a) S.P. Bone, D.B. Sowerby, R.D. Verma. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1544 (1978); (b) D. Harrison, A. Giorgetti, J.G. Bunzli. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 885 (1985).
- [19] Y. Ohki, Y. Suzuki, T. Takeuchi, M. Shimoi, A. Ouchi. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 59, 1015 (1986).
- [20] (a) H. Sawase, Y. Koizumi, Y. Suzuki, M. Shimoi, A. Ouchi. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 57, 2730 (1984);
 (b) Y. Sugita, A. Ouchi. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 60, 171 (1987); (c) T. Imai, M. Shimoi, A. Ouchi. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 60, 159 (1987); (d) Y. Koizumi, H. Sawase, Y. Suzuki, T. Takeuchi, M. Shimoi, A. Ouchi. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 57, 1809 (1984).
- [21] L. Pan, X.Y. Huang, J. Li, Y.G. Wu, N.W. Zheng. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 39, 3527 (2000).
- [22] A. Dimos, D. Tsaousis, A. Michaelides. Chem. Mater., 14, 2616 (2002).